Case 2:13-cv-01708-MSG_Document 1-Filed 04/02/13 Page 1 of 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE PRIVATE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	DEFENDANTS		
BRENDA ELMAN		J	N SASTEMS INC	
DRENDA ELIMAN		NCO FINANCIA	AL SYSTEMS, INC.	
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	Iress) NOTE: IN LAN	D CONDEMNATION CASES, U	SE THE LOCATION OF THE
Craig Thor Kimmel, E Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888			INVOLVED.	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Pi	 DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi 	PTF DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 	Citizen of Another State	2	
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🛘 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Injury □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 365 Personal Injury □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 370 Other Praud □ 370 Other Praud □ 370 Other Personal □ 370 Other Person	1	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
□ 2 R	ate Court Appellate Court	Reopened anothe	ferred from	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A			<u> </u>
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: : 3 Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
Explanation:				
DATE	SIGNATURE O	F ATTORNEY OF RECORD		

04/02/2012

Case 2:13-cv-01708 JINISTED STRATIFICE COLORAL Page 2 of 11

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM assignment to appropriate calendar.	to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: PO Box 144, West Lebanon, NY 12	195
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Road, Horsham,	PA 19044
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side F	or Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	on and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.	$Y_{es}\square N_0 \square$
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No⊠
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within on	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a pri	Yes No X
action in this court?	or suit politing of whilm one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No⊠
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earli	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil r	ights case filed by the same individual?
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Yes□ No⊠
	102
CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. X All other Federal Question Cases	(Trease specify)
(Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692	
ARBITRATION CEF (\$\varphi\) (\$\varphi\) heck Appropriate	
I, Craig Thor Kimmel , counsel of fecord do hereby ce	ertify:
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge a	nd belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
	55100
DATE:	57100
Attornéy-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if	Attorney I.D.# there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending	or within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	
DATE:	57100
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

DDENDA DI MANI				
BRENDA ELMAN v.	: : :	CIVIL ACTION		
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, IN	1C. :	NO.		
plaintiff shall complete a Case Ma filing the complaint and serve a co- side of this form.) In the event designation, that defendant shall, w	anagement Track Desi py on all defendants. that a defendant doe vith its first appearanc e Management Track	ay Reduction Plan of this court, court gnation Form in all civil cases at the (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the s not agree with the plaintiff regarding, submit to the clerk of court and serve Designation Form specifying the track to	time of reverse ng said on the	
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLO	OWING CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brough	nt under 28 U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255.	()	
(b) Social Security – Cases request and Human Services denying p	_	•	()	
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to	be designated for arb	itration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving cla exposure to asbestos.	iims for personal injur	y or property damage from	()	
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)				
(f) Standard Management – Cases	that do not fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	()	
-	g Thor Kimmel rney-at-law	Plaintiff, Brenda Elman Attorney for		

877-788-2864

FAX Number

kimmel@creditlaw.com

E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

215-540-8888

Telephone

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 BRENDA ELMAN, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: v. 6 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 7 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., JURY TRIAL 8 Defendant) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 **COMPLAINT** 10 11 BRENDA ELMAN ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & 12 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. 13 ("Defendant"): 14 INTRODUCTION 15 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 16 U.S.C. §1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") and the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227 17 et seq. ("TCPA"). 18 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 19 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d), which states 20 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 21 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. §1331 grants this court original 22 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 23 24 3. Defendant has its corporate headquarters in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; 25 as such, personal jurisdiction is established.

4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in West Lebanon, New York.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. In the alternative, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt.
- 12. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 13. The debt that Defendant was attempting to collect was originally owed to a telephone service provider for residential telephone service.
- 14. The telephone service was for a residence located in Massachusetts and arose on or around 2005.

- 15. In 2005, Plaintiff did not reside in Massachusetts and she has lived in New York since 2001.
- 16. Beginning in November 2012, and continuing through February 2013, Defendant called on a repetitive and continuous basis in an attempt to collect an alleged debt from Plaintiff.
 - 17. All collection calls were placed to Plaintiff's cellular telephone from Defendant.
 - 18. During the relevant period, Plaintiff received multiple calls on most days.
- 19. Plaintiff has never conferred upon Defendant implied or expressed consent to call her cellular telephone.
- 20. Plaintiff has never given the original creditor implied or expressed consent to call her cellular telephone about this account.
- 21. Defendant's telephone calls were not placed to Plaintiff for "emergency purposes," as specified in 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(1)(A).
- 22. Over the period that Defendant was contacting Plaintiff, upon information and belief, Defendant called Plaintiff several times on her cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice.
- 23. On multiple occasions, Plaintiff requested that Defendant stop calling her about the debt because she did not owe it.
- 24. Defendant ignored Plaintiff's requests and continued to call on a repetitive and continuous basis.
- 25. In response to Defendant's repetitive collection calls regarding a debt she did not owe, Plaintiff contacted the original creditor, New England Bell, confirming her social security number and provided information establishing she did not owe the debt.

- 26. During the parties' next conversation, Defendant was told about Plaintiff's contacts with the creditor, confirming that she did not owe the debt.
- 27. Rather than respond appropriately, Defendant's collector disregarded Plaintiff and said that the debt was in her name, and she had to pay it.
- 28. Further, Defendant's collector stated that if Plaintiff did not pay the debt, it would be reported on her credit report.
- 29. Outraged, harassed and upset by the constant collection calls, Plaintiff filed a police report. Nevertheless, Defendant persisted in trying to collect the debt from Plaintiff.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant called Plaintiff on a repetitive and continuous basis with the intent to annoy and harass Plaintiff into paying a debt she did not owe.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- 31. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692c(a)(1).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by communicating with the consumer at any unusual time or place or a time or place known or which should be known to be inconvenient to the consumer, including communication between a debt collector and consumer prior to 8:00 a.m. or after 9:00 p.m.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by placing numerous collection calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone after Plaintiff informed Defendant that she did not owe the alleged debt.

COUNT II

- 32. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA by continuously calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone about a debt she did not owe, with the intent to annoy, abuse, and harass Plaintiff.

COUNT III

- 33. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(5) and 1692e(8) of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA by falsely representing the character, amount or legal status of any debt.
 - c. A debt collector violates §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.

- d. A debt collector violates §1692e(8) of the FDCPA by communicating or threatening to communicate to any person credit information which is known or which should be known to be false, including the failure to communicate that a disputed debt is disputed.
- e. Here, Defendant violated §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(5), and 1692e(8) of the FDCPA by telling Plaintiff that she had to pay the debt even though she confirmed with the original creditor that she did not owe it, when it told Plaintiff that it would report the debt on her credit report even though she disputed it, when it told her she had to pay the debt because it was in her name, and when it represented that it was a debt owed by her knowing that this was not her debt.

COUNT IV

- 34. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692f and 1692f(1) of the FDCPA.
 - n. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692f(1) of the FDCPA by collecting an amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §1692f and 1692f(1) of the FDCPA by engaging in other unfair and unconscionable debt collection practices, including attempting to collect a debt from Plaintiff that she did not owe.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT COUNT IV

- 35. Section 227(b)(3)(A) of the TCPA authorizes a private cause of action for a person or entity to bring in an appropriate court of that state "an action based on a violation of this subsection or the regulations prescribed under this subsection to enjoin such violation."
- 36. Section 227(b)(3)(B), of the Act authorizes a private cause of action for a person or entity to bring in an appropriate court of that state "an action to recover for actual monetary loss from such a violation, or to receive \$500 in damages for each such violation, whichever is greater."
- 37. Despite the fact that Plaintiff never consented to Defendant making calls to her cellular phone, Defendant repeatedly placed non-emergency calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone without Plaintiff's consent.
- 38. The Act also authorizes the Court, in its discretion, to award up to three (3) times the actual damages sustained for violations.
- 39. Here, Defendant repeatedly and regularly placed non-emergency, automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, using a pre-recorded or artificial voice.
- 40. Upon information and belief, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on her cellular telephone dozens of times.
- 41. Defendant did not have Plaintiff's express consent prior to contacting her cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system or pre-recorded or artificial voice.
- 42. Defendant's conduct violated §227(b)(1)(A)(iii) of the TCPA by making any call using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial prerecorded voice to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service.

1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, BRENDA ELMAN, respectfully prays for a judgment as 2 follows: 3 a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); 4 b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 5 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); 6 c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation 7 costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); 8 d. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation of the TCPA, pursuant to 47 9 U.S.C. §227(c)(5)(B); and 10 d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court. 11 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 12 13 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, BRENDA ELMAN, demands a jury trial in this 14 case. 15 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, 16 Date: 04/02/2013 By: CRAIG THOR KIMMEL 17 Attorney ID No. 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 18 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 19 Phone: (215) 540-8888 20 Fax: (877) 788-2864 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com 21 22 23 24 25